The holonomy of IIB supercovariant connection

G. Papadopoulos and D. Tsimpis

Department of Mathematics
King's College London
Strand
London WC2R 2LS

arXiv:hep-th/0307127v2 25 Jul 2003

Abstract

We show that the holonomy of the supercovariant connection of IIB supergravity is contained in $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$. We also find that the holonomy reduces to a subgroup of $SL(32-N) \ltimes (\oplus^N \mathbb{R}^{32-N})$ for IIB supergravity backgrounds with N Killing spinors. We give the necessary and sufficient conditions for a IIB background to admit N Killing spinors. A IIB supersymmetric probe configuration can involve up to 31 linearly independent planar branes and preserves one supersymmetry.

Supersymmetric backgrounds in various supergravity theories can be characterized by the holonomy of their supercovariant connection. For example, the holonomy of the supercovariant connection of maximally supersymmetric solutions is such that the curvature of the supercovariant connection vanishes. The latter property has been used in [1] to classify all maximally supersymmetric solutions in eleven and ten dimensions. The holonomy of the supercovariant connection of a generic eleven-dimensional supergravity background is a subgroup of $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$ [2, 3]. For backgrounds with N Killing spinors it reduces to $SL(32-N,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^N \mathbb{R}^{32-N})$. This has been used in [3] to give the necessary and sufficient conditions for an eleven-dimensional background to admit N Killing spinors. The holonomy of the supercovariant connection is known for many eleven-dimensional backgrounds, see [2, 4] and references therein. For another approach to investigating supersymmetric solutions in eleven-dimensions see [5].

In this note, we shall show that the (restricted) holonomy, $\mathrm{hol}(\mathcal{D})$, of the supercovariant connection, $\mathcal{D}$, of IIB supergravity is $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$, i.e. it is the same as that of the supercovariant connection of eleven-dimensional supergravity. Consequently, the holonomy of the supercovariant connection of a background with N Killing spinors is a subgroup of $SL(32-N,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^N \mathbb{R}^{32-N})$. A IIB background admits exactly N Killing spinors iff

$$SL(31-N,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^{N+1} \mathbb{R}^{31-N}) \not\supseteq \mathrm{hol}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq SL(32-N,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^N \mathbb{R}^{32-N}) \ . \tag{0.1}$$

Adapting the results of [3] to ten dimensions, we conclude that there is no topological obstruction for the existence of $N = 22$ Killing spinors. The first obstruction can occur for $N = 23$ provided that the top cohomology class of spacetime does not vanish. If spacetime has topology $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, an obstruction can occur for $N = 24$. The holonomy of the supercovariant connections of standard and massive IIA supergravities takes values in $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$ as well.

The Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1})$ as a vector space is isomorphic to $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{9,1})$, $\mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) = \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{9,1})$, and so it has dimension 2^{10}. (For a summary see [6]). The Clifford algebra as an algebra is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) = M_{32}(\mathbb{R}) \ , \tag{0.2}$$

where $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of $n \times n$ matrices with real entries. We have

$$\mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) = \mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \oplus \mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \ ,$$

corresponding to the decomposition of $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{9,1})$ in terms of even- and odd-degree forms. It is known that

$$\mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) = M_{16}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus M_{16}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{0.3}$$

and so $Spin(9,1) \subset \mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) = M_{16}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus M_{16}(\mathbb{R})$. $\mathrm{Cliff}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1})$ has one irreducible (pinor) representation of dimension 32, given by the standard action of $M_{32}(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{32}$. The even part of the Clifford algebra has two irreducible representations given by the standard action of $M_{16}(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{16}$, one for each factor in (0.3). These are the well-known Majorana-Weyl spinor representations $\Delta^{\pm}$ of $Spin(9,1)$. Therefore,

$$Spin(9,1) \subset SL(16,\mathbb{R}) \subset GL(16,\mathbb{R}) \ . \tag{0.4}$$

The product of two spinor representations can be decomposed as

$$\Delta^{\pm} \otimes \Delta^{\pm} = \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \oplus \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \oplus \Lambda^{5\pm}(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \ ,$$

and

$$\Delta^{+} \otimes \Delta^{-} = \Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \oplus \Lambda^4(\mathbb{R}^{9,1}) \ .$$

In particular, we have

$$\Delta^{\pm} \otimes \Delta^{\pm} = M_{16}(\mathbb{R})$$

for all choices of representations. In what follows, we denote by $S^{\pm}$ the spinor bundles associated with the representation $\Delta^{\pm}$, respectively.

IIB supergravity [7, 8] has two Killing spinor equations. One is associated with the supersymmetry variation of the gravitino and the other with the supersymmetry variation of the axionino-dilatino. The former is differential and it is the parallel transport equation of the supercovariant connection. The latter is algebraic. We shall be concerned mostly with the gravitino Killing spinor equation. There are many ways to write the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity. For our purpose, it is convenient to use the form given in [9] after adjusting for conventions[1]. The gravitino Killing spinor equation in the string frame is

$$\mathcal{D}_M \epsilon = 0 \ , \tag{0.5}$$

where $\epsilon^{\rm t} = (\epsilon^1, \epsilon^2)$ is a chiral spinor doublet, i.e. a section of the bundle $S^+ \oplus S^+$, $\mathcal{D}$ is the supercovariant connection,

$$\mathcal{D}_M = \nabla_M + \frac{1}{8} H_{MAB} \Gamma^{AB} \otimes \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{16} e^{\phi} \sum_{n=1}^{5} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)!} G_{A_1 \dots A_{2n-1}} \Gamma^{A_1 \dots A_{2n-1}} \Gamma_M \otimes \lambda_n \ , \tag{0.6}$$

∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and ϕ is the dilaton. We have used the notation $\lambda_n = \sigma_1$, for n even, and $\lambda_n = i\sigma_2$, for n odd; $\{\sigma_i : i = 1, 2, 3\}$ are the Pauli matrices. In addition, we have[2]

$$\begin{aligned} H &= dB \\ G^{2n+1} &= dC^{2n} - H \wedge C^{2n-2} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{0.7}$$

where H (B) is the NS-NS three-(two-)form field strength (gauge potential) and G^{2n+1} (C^{2n}) are the R-R $2n+1$- ($2n$-)form field strengths (gauge potentials). The independent RR field strengths are G^1, G^3 and G^5, where $G^9 = {}^*G^1$, $G^7 = -{}^*G^3$, and $G^5 = {}^*G_5$ is self-dual.

The supercovariant connection of IIB supergravity is a connection on the bundle $S^+ \oplus S^+$. This bundle can be viewed as an associated vector bundle $P(G) \times_{\rho} (\Delta^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^2)$ of a principal bundle $P(G)$ with fibre group $G = H \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ for $H = Spin(9, 1), SL(16, \mathbb{R})$ or $GL(16, \mathbb{R})$. The representation ρ is the standard representation of $GL(16, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\Delta^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^{32}$ restricted to the subgroup G. The bundle $S^+ \oplus S^+$ is also an associated bundle of $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$ and $GL(32, \mathbb{R})$. This can been seen by observing that $Spin(9, 1)$

[1] We use a mostly plus metric, $\epsilon_{01\dots9} = 1$, $\Gamma_{11} = \Gamma^{0123456789}$ and the gamma matrices are real.

[2] Our conventions for a k-degree form are $\omega = \frac{1}{k!} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}$.

and $SL(16,\mathbb{R})$ can be diagonally embedded in $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$ and in $GL(32,\mathbb{R})$. In order to investigate the properties of the supercovariant connection, it is best to view $S^+ \oplus S^+$ as an associated bundle of $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$.

The holonomy $\mathrm{hol}(\mathcal{D})$ of the superconnection $\mathcal{D}$ can be any subgroup of $GL(32,\mathbb{R})$. We shall find that it is a subgroup of $SL(32,\mathbb{R})$. To show this, we have to compute the curvature

$$\mathcal{R}_{MN} = [\mathcal{D}_M, \mathcal{D}_N] \tag{0.8}$$

of the supercovariant derivative. The supercovariant curvature $\mathcal{R}_{MN}$ can be expanded in a basis involving gamma matrices as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{MN} &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \phi_{MN}{}^{\mu}\ 1_{16} \otimes \tau_\mu \\ &+ \sum_{\mu=0}^{3}\sum_{n=1}^{2} \phi_{MN}{}^{A_1\dots A_{2n}\mu} \Gamma_{A_1\dots A_{2n}} \otimes \tau_\mu\ , \end{aligned} \tag{0.9}$$

where $\tau_0 = 1_2$, $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 = i\sigma_2$ and $\tau_3 = \sigma_3$. Observe that $\mathcal{R}$ is expanded in even powers of gamma matrices. The coefficients ϕ are functions of the bosonic fields of IIB supergravity. As we have seen the basis involving even powers of gamma matrices spans $M_{16}(\mathbb{R}) = gl(16,\mathbb{R})$. These are tensored with the space of 2×2 matrices. Thus the curvature of the supercovariant derivative takes values in[3]

$$M_{16}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{R}) = M_{32}(\mathbb{R})\ .$$

However the component of $\mathcal{R}$ along the generator $1_{16} \otimes 1_2$ vanishes. To see this observe that the only terms that can contribute to the component of $\mathcal{R}$ along the generator $1_{16} \otimes 1_2$, are proportional to

$$H_{MA_1A_2} H_{NB_1B_2} [\Gamma^{A_1A_2}, \Gamma^{B_1B_2}] \otimes 1_2 \tag{0.10}$$

and

$$G_{A_1\dots A_{2n-1}} G_{B_1\dots B_{2n-1}} [\Gamma^{A_1\dots A_{2n-1}}\Gamma_M, \Gamma^{B_1\dots B_{2n-1}}\Gamma_N] \otimes 1_2\ . \tag{0.11}$$

The remaining terms are always of the type $\Gamma^{(2n)} \otimes \tau_\mu$ for $n = 0, 2, 4$ and $\mu = 1, 2, 3$, where $\Gamma^{(k)}$ denotes the skew-symmetric product of k gamma matrices. It is easy to see that (0.10) vanishes along $1_{16} \otimes 1_2$. The only terms in the decomposition of (0.11) which are along $1_{16} \otimes 1_2$ are proportional to either

$$G_{MA_1\dots A_{2n-2}} G_N{}^{A_1\dots A_{2n-2}} \tag{0.12}$$

or

$$g_{MN} G_{A_1\dots A_{2n-1}} G^{A_1\dots A_{2n-1}}\ . \tag{0.13}$$

In both cases they vanish because of the anti-symmetry in the M, N indices. Thus the non-vanishing components of $\mathcal{R}$ are along the generators $1_{16} \otimes \tau_i$, $\Gamma^{(2)} \otimes \tau_\mu$ and $\Gamma^{(4)} \otimes \tau_\mu$;

[3] In general $M_n(\mathbb{R}) \otimes M_m(\mathbb{R}) = M_{nm}(\mathbb{R})$.

$i = 1, 2, 3$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. These span the subspace $M^0_{32}(\mathbb{R})$ of $M_{32}(\mathbb{R})$ of matrices that have zero trace, and $M^0_{32}(\mathbb{R}) = sl(32, \mathbb{R})$. Thus we conclude that

$$\mathrm{hol}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq SL(32, \mathbb{R}) \ . \tag{0.14}$$

The existence of parallel (Killing) spinors with respect to the supercovariant derivative $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. spinors ϵ such that

$$\mathcal{D}_M \epsilon = 0 \ ,$$

implies

$$\mathcal{R}_{MN} \epsilon = 0$$

and the reduction of the holonomy group to a subgroup of $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$. If the background admits N Killing spinors, then

$$\mathrm{hol}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq SL(32 - N, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^N \mathbb{R}^{32-N}) \ .$$

The proof is similar to the one given in the case of eleven-dimensional supergravity. Similarly, a background admits precisely N Killing spinors iff

$$SL(31 - N, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^{N+1} \mathbb{R}^{31-N}) \not\supseteq \mathrm{hol}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq SL(32 - N, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\oplus^N \mathbb{R}^{32-N}) \ . \tag{0.15}$$

These conditions together with the field equations of IIB supergravity are the *necessary and sufficient* conditions for a IIB supergravity background to admit N Killing spinors.

Conditions (0.15) can be expressed in terms of conditions on the supercovariant curvature. For this we adopt a moving frame along the parallel spinors and introduce the basis $\{m_{ab}; a, b = 1, \ldots, 32\}$ of $sl(32, \mathbb{R})$ given for example in [3]. Since $\{1_{16} \otimes \tau_i, \Gamma^{(2)} \otimes \tau_\mu, \Gamma^{(4)} \otimes \tau_\mu; i = 1, 2, 3, \ \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is another basis of $sl(32, \mathbb{R})$, there is an invertible transformation Y such that

$$\Gamma_{A_1 \ldots A_{2n}} \otimes \tau_\mu = Y_{A_1 \ldots A_{2n}, \mu}{}^{ab} m_{ab} \ , \tag{0.16}$$

where n and μ have the appropriate ranges. Therefore we can expand

$$\mathcal{R}_{MN} = \mathcal{R}_{MN}{}^{ab} m_{ab} \ . \tag{0.17}$$

The conditions for the existence of N Killing spinors are

$$\mathcal{R}_{MN}{}^{ab} = 0 \ , a = 1, \ldots, 32 \qquad b = 1, \ldots, N \ . \tag{0.18}$$

These are similar to those found in eleven-dimensional supergravity.

For completeness, we give the curvature of the supercovariant derivative of IIB supergravity in the notation of [7] to which the reader is referred for further details. $\mathcal{D}_M$ is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_M \epsilon := D_M \epsilon + U_M \epsilon + V_M \epsilon^\star \ , \tag{0.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_M \epsilon &:= \left(\partial_M + \frac{1}{4} \omega_M{}^{ab} \Gamma_{ab} - \frac{i}{2} Q_M \right) \epsilon \\ U_M &:= \frac{i\kappa}{48} \Gamma^{L_1 \ldots L_4} F_{M L_1 \ldots L_4} \\ V_M &:= \frac{\kappa}{96} \left(\Gamma_M{}^{L_1 L_2 L_3} G_{L_1 L_2 L_3} - 9 \Gamma^{L_1 L_2} G_{M L_1 L_2} \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{0.20}$$

A computation yields

$$\mathcal{D}_{[N}\mathcal{D}_{M]}\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{NM}\epsilon = \mathcal{S}\epsilon + \mathcal{T}\epsilon^{\star} \ , \tag{0.21}$$

where the 16 by 16 complex matrices $\mathcal{S}$,$\mathcal{T}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} \;=\; & \frac{1}{8}R_{NM}{}^{L_1L_2}\Gamma_{L_1L_2} - \frac{1}{2}P_{[N}P^{\star}_{M]} + \frac{i\kappa}{48}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}D_{[N}F_{M]L_1\ldots L_4} \\
& + \frac{\kappa^2}{24}(-\Gamma^{L_1L_2}F_{[N|L_1}{}^{Q_1Q_2Q_3}F_{|M]L_2Q_1Q_2Q_3} + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}F_{NML_1}{}^{Q_1Q_2}F_{L_2L_3L_4Q_1Q_2} \\
& \qquad + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{[N}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}F_{M]L_1}{}^{Q_1Q_2Q_3}F_{L_2L_3Q_1Q_2Q_3}) \\
& + \frac{\kappa^2}{32}(-\frac{1}{2}G_{[N}{}^{L_1L_2}G^{\star}_{M]L_1L_2} + \frac{1}{48}\Gamma_{NM}G^{L_1L_2L_3}G^{\star}_{L_1L_2L_3} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_{[N}{}^{L_1}G_{M]}{}^{L_2L_3}G^{\star}_{L_1L_2L_3} + \frac{1}{8}\Gamma_{[N|}{}^{Q}G_{Q}{}^{L_1L_2}G^{\star}_{|M]L_1L_2} \\
& \quad + \frac{3}{16}\Gamma^{L_1L_2}G_{NM}{}^{L_3}G^{\star}_{L_1L_2L_3} - \Gamma^{L_1L_2}G_{[N|L_1}{}^{Q}G^{\star}_{|M]L_2Q} \\
& \quad - \frac{3}{16}\Gamma^{L_1L_2}G_{L_1L_2}{}^{Q}G^{\star}_{NMQ} + \frac{1}{16}\Gamma_{NM}{}^{L_1L_2}G_{L_1}{}^{Q_1Q_2}G^{\star}_{L_2Q_1Q_2} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{16}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}G_{L_1L_2L_3}G^{\star}_{NML_4} + \frac{1}{8}\Gamma_{[N|}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}G_{L_1L_2}{}^{Q}G^{\star}_{|M]L_3Q} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}G_{[N|L_1L_2}G^{\star}_{|M]L_3L_4} + \frac{1}{16}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}G_{NML_1}G^{\star}_{L_2L_3L_4} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_{[N|}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}G_{|M]L_1}{}^{Q}G^{\star}_{L_2L_3Q} + \frac{1}{24}\Gamma_{[N|}{}^{L_1\ldots L_5}G_{|M]L_1L_2}G^{\star}_{L_3L_4L_5} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{48}\Gamma_{[N|}{}^{L_1\ldots L_5}G_{L_1L_2L_3}G^{\star}_{|M]L_4L_5} - \frac{1}{32}\Gamma_{NM}{}^{L_1\ldots L_4}G_{L_1L_2}{}^{Q}G^{\star}_{L_3L_4Q} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{288}\Gamma_{NM}{}^{L_1\ldots L_6}G_{L_1L_2L_3}G^{\star}_{L_4L_5L_6})
\end{aligned} \tag{0.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T} \;=\; & -\frac{\kappa}{96}(\Gamma_{[N}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}D_{M]}G_{L_1L_2L_3} + 9\Gamma^{L_1L_2}D_{[N}G_{M]L_1L_2}) \\
& + \frac{i\kappa^2}{32}(\frac{1}{3}F_{NM}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}G_{L_1L_2L_3} + \Gamma^{L_1L_2}F_{[N|L_1L_2}{}^{Q_1Q_2}G_{|M]Q_1Q_2} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_{[N}{}^{Q}F_{M]Q}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}G_{L_1L_2L_3} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}F_{NML_1L_2}{}^{Q}G_{L_3L_4Q} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{[N}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}F_{M]L_1L_2}{}^{Q_1Q_2}G_{L_3Q_1Q_2} + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{L_1\ldots L_4}F_{L_1\ldots L_4}{}^{Q}G_{NMQ} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{[N|}{}^{L_1L_2L_3}F_{L_1L_2L_3}{}^{Q_1Q_2}G_{|M]Q_1Q_2}) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{0.23}$$

Using the doubling trick

$$i \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \to \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{0.24}$$

we can write (0.21) in terms of 32 by 32 real matrices,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{NM} = Re(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \tau_0 + Im(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \tau_1 - Im(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \tau_2 + Re(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \tau_3 \ . \tag{0.25}$$

It can be seen again that $\mathcal{R}_{NM}$ takes values in $sl(32, \mathbb{R})$.

As in the case of M-theory [3], the maximal number of *linearly independent* IIB branes that can take part in a supersymmetric probe configuration in $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$ is 31. This number is determined by the dimension of the Cartan subalgebra of $sl(32, \mathbb{R})$ spanned by symmetric traceless matrices. Such brane configurations can be constructed by reducing a supersymmetric M-brane configuration of M-theory with 31 linearly independent branes to IIA and then T-dualizing to IIB. The subgroup $SO(32)$ of $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$ rotates one IIB supersymmetric planar brane configuration to another. Generic rotations by $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$ do not preserve the hermiticity of projectors.

The holonomy of the supercovariant connections of standard and massive IIA supergravities also takes values in $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$. In the former case this follows either from the similar result in eleven-dimensions or by directly computing the supercovariant curvature. In the latter case it follows from the computation of the supercovariant curvature of massive IIA supergravity [10]. Therefore all our results regarding the reduction of the holonomy for backgrounds with N Killing spinors and the above discussion regarding planar brane configurations apply to this case as well. It is rather striking that the holonomy of the supercovariant connections of D=11 and type II D=10 supergravities is $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$. However, the spaces on which $SL(32, \mathbb{R})$ acts in each case are different. One explanation for this may be the underlying duality relations between these theories. This common property of ten- and eleven-dimensional supergravity theories merits further investigation.

Acknowledgements
DT thanks the European Union, grant number HPRN-2000-00122, which includes the Department of Physics, Queen Mary College University of London, as a sub-contractor.

References

[1] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Maximally supersymmetric solutions of ten-dimensional and eleven-dimensional supergravities," JHEP **0303** (2003) 048: [arXiv:hep-th/0211089].

"Pluecker-type relations for orthogonal planes," arXiv:math.ag/0211170.

[2] C. Hull, "Holonomy and symmetry in M-theory," arXiv:hep-th/0305039.

[3] G. Papadopoulos and D. Tsimpis, "The holonomy of the supercovariant connection and Killing spinors," arXiv:hep-th/0306117.

[4] M. J. Duff and J. T. Liu, "Hidden spacetime symmetries and generalized holonomy in M-theory," arXiv:hep-th/0303140.

[5] J. P. Gauntlett and S. Pakis, JHEP **0304** (2003) 039 [arXiv:hep-th/0212008].

[6] F. R. Harvey, "Spinors and Calibrations," Academic Press, London (1990).

[7] J. Schwarz, "Covariant field equations of chiral $N = 2$ $D = 10$ supergravity," Nucl. Phys. **B226** (1983) 269.

[8] P. Howe and P. West, " The complete $N = 2$, $D = 10$ supergravity", Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 181.

[9] E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, B. Janseen and T. Ortin, "The Super D9-Brane and its truncations, " [arXiv:hep-th/9901055].

[10] L. J. Romans, "Massive N=2a Supergravity In Ten-Dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **169** (1986) 374.